

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023 i www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/636,571	08/10/2000	Kazuhiko Nakamura	D01-4120/TK	6450
26689	7590 03/07/2002			
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			EXAMINER	
225 WEST W CHICAGO, II	ACKER DRIVE L 60606		SHORT, PATRICIA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1712	7
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1-D-7	
	=

		***	1 -
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/636511	Nakamura	
	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	Track	1712	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence addr	ess
Peri d for Reply	C		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILIN	G DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta</li> </ul>	reply within the statutory minimult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered to the mailing date of this communication.	mely.
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	wary 22,	2007	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excepaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			l in
Disp sition of Claims			
XClaim(s) 1-54		is/are pending in the applica	ition.
Of the above claim(s) 51-54		is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
X Claim(s) 1-50		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)			election
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ina Review. PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• • •	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	, cood to by the Examinen	•	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. & 11 9/a\-	(d)	
All  Some*  None of the CERTIFIED copies of Spreceived.			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

Office Acti n Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)\_

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_

\*Certified copies not received:\_

☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Attachm nt(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/636,571

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Scheve. The reference teaches a hardenable resin composition comprising a polymer prepared from acrylate monomers including acrylic acid and a hydroxy acrylate that is reacted with isocyanatoethyl methacrylate in the presence of triphenyl phosphite stabilizer and further reacted with hyroxylpropyl methacrylate. See examples 1 and 3-5. The hyroxylpropyl methacrylate inherently reacts with any acid anhydride side product to produce an ester bond. Further, the claimed product, containing alcohol ester-bonded to part of the acidic functional group, does not distinguish over the products of the reference containing copolymerized methacylates.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8, 19, 32, 39, 44 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Art Unit: 1712

applicant regards as the invention. These claims are improper because they contain periods within the claim and consist of more than one sentence. A claim must consist of a single sentence.

Claims 51-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

P. Short

March 4, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310

PATRICIA A SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Potrici a Mont